# Fatty Acid Composition and Digestive Enzyme Activities of Rainbow Trout in Response to Dietary Docosahexaenoic Acid (DHA) and Eicosapentaenoic Acid (EPA) During Salinity Acclimation

HUANG Ming<sup>1)</sup>, ZHOU Yangen<sup>1), \*</sup>, GE Jian<sup>1)</sup>, AGUSTSSON Thorleifur<sup>2), 3)</sup>, LI Li<sup>1)</sup>, GAO Qinfeng<sup>1), 4)</sup>, and DONG Shuanglin<sup>1), 4)</sup>

1) Key Laboratory of Mariculture (Ocean University of China), Ministry of Education, Qingdao 266100, China

2) NORCE Norwegian Research Center, Mekjarvik 12, 4072, Randaberg, Norway

3) RORUM Brynjolfsgata 5, 107, Reykjavík, Iceland

4) Function Laboratory for Marine Fisheries Science and Food Production Processes, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao 266235, China

(Received January 24, 2020; revised May 8, 2020; accepted September 1, 2020) © Ocean University of China, Science Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2020

**Abstract** This physiological study aimed to evaluate the effects of dietary docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) on the fatty acid composition and digestive enzyme activities of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) during salinity acclimation. Rainbow trout with an average initial weight of 90.61  $g\pm 9.25$  g were fed diets with the quantities of DHA and EPA equaling to 0.54%, 0.95%, 1.40% and 1.79% (abbreviated as DE-0.54, DE-0.95, DE-1.40, and DE-1.79, respectively) for eight weeks, after which the gastric and intestinal fatty acids composition were analyzed. Subsequently, the fish underwent salinity acclimation. On days 1, 4, 7, and 14 after the freshwater was replaced by seawater and at the end of the 8-week period, gastric and intestinal digestive enzyme activities were determined. The results showed that the gastric and intestinal DHA and EPA contents of the fish were positively correlated to their dietary DHA and EPA levels. Low dietary DHA and EPA levels inhibited the protease activity of rainbow trout. Fish in the DE-0.54 group increased the lipase activity to enhance the utilization of lipids for essential fatty acids demand. Hence, rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group failed to maintain suitable activities of digestive enzymes after salinity acclimation. Therefore, a diet with minimum 0.95% DHA and EPA levels is necessary for rainbow trout during salinity acclimation.

Key words digestive enzymes; docosahexaenoic acid; eicosapentaenoic acid; rainbow trout

# **1** Introduction

Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), which is classified as salmonids, is one of the world's most important aquaculture species and is farmed in many countries (Esmaeili *et al.*, 2017). Due to the increasing demand for rainbow trout, Chinese researchers are establishing mariculture system using deep-sea cages far offshore in the Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass of China (Evans, 2018; Dong, 2019). The 'mountain-sea transfer' culturing method is used, in which juvenile fish are hatched and cultivated in freshwater in mountainous areas before being transferred to seawater cages for growth until harvest.

The parr-smolt transformation process of salmonids (smoltification) requires up to 50% of the total available energy (Moser *et al.*, 1994; Boeuf *et al.*, 2001). Fishes take

energy mainly from the proteins and lipids and the demand for essential fatty acids varies among different species (Glencross, 2009; NRC, 2011). It has been reported that the demand for polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), particularly docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6n3) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5n3), in salmonids increase during smoltification (Ackman *et al.*, 1986; Tocher *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, previous studies have revealed that adequate accumulation of DHA and EPA is a prerequisite for salmonids before being transferred to the sea (Sheridan *et al.*, 1985; Li *et al.*, 1992; Bell *et al.*, 1997).

It has been suggested that digestive enzyme activities can be regulated by dietary composition (Fountoulaki *et al.*, 2005; Santigosa *et al.*, 2008; Castro *et al.*, 2013; Sivaramakrishnan *et al.*, 2017; Fuentes-Quesada *et al.*, 2018). Xie *et al.* (2018) reported that the activities of protease, lipase and  $\alpha$ -amylase in the digestive tract of rabbitfish (*Siganus canaliculatus*) changed depending on the levels of dietary proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates, respectively. The digestive ability of fish changes in response to the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: 0086-532-82031590 E-mail: zhouyg@ouc.edu.cn

replacement of fish oil with vegetable oil in the diet, and this replacement causes a decrease in the dietary DHA and EPA levels. You *et al.* (2019) reported that dietary soybean oil significantly decreases the amylase, trypsin, and lipase activities in the intestine of golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*). However, Bowyer *et al.* (2012) found that dietary coconut oil decreases trypsin and lipase activities but had no effect on amylase activity in yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*).

The exposure of fish to a hypersaline environment accelerates the drinking rate and thereby changes the ion content and pH in the gastrointestinal tract of fish, influencing the activities of digestive enzyme (Noda *et al.*, 1981; Squires *et al.*, 1986a; Usher *et al.*, 1988; Moutou *et al.*, 2004). The effects of salinity on digestive enzyme activities have been widely reported in many species, such as turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) (Chen *et al.*, 2006), Caspian kutum (*Rutilus frisii kutum*, Kamensky, 1901) (Gheisvandi *et al.*, 2015), Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) (Bolasina *et al.*, 2007), and fat snook (*Centropomus parallelus*) (Tsuzuki *et al.*, 2007).

Interactions between osmoregulation and digestion have been reported in fish (Psochiou *et al.*, 2007). Digestive enzyme activities are indicators of digestive processes and nutritional conditions that can be easily and reliably analyzed (Barman *et al.*, 2005; Bolasina *et al.*, 2006; Rungruangsak-Torrissen *et al.*, 2006; Nikolopoulou *et al.*, 2011; Sutthinon *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2017). However, previous studies have mainly focused either on the effects of dietary components or salinity acclimation on the digestive enzyme activities, while few studies have considered these two factors together at the same time. The objective of this study was to explore the effects of dietary DHA and EPA levels on the gastric and intestinal digestive enzyme activities in rainbow trout during salinity acclimation.

#### 2 Materials and Methods

#### **2.1 Experimental Diets**

The basal diet was formulated to be both isoproteic (45% proteins) and isolipidic (16% lipids) using fish meal, soybean meal, chicken meal, and corn gluten meal as the primary protein sources and fish oil and soybean oil as the lipid sources. With the adjustment of the proportions of fish oil and soybean oil, four different practical diets with DHA+EPA levels equal to 0.54%, 0.95%, 1.40%, or 1.79% were designed (Table 1). The fatty acid composition of the experimental diets is presented in Table 2.

#### 2.2 Experimental Design and Sample Collection

The experiment was conducted at the Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ocean University of China (Qingdao, Shandong, China). Juvenile rainbow trouts were obtained from the Linqu Cold Water Fish Farm (Linqu, Shandong, China). Prior to the experiment, stomachs and intestines of three fish were collected as initial samples, after which fish were acclimated by being stocked in circular tanks (volume, 180L; height, 0.60m; upper diameter, 0.65m; lower diameter, 0.60m) for two weeks and fed experimental diets (mixed by the four aforementioned diets). After the acclimation period, fish with an average weight of (90.61 $\pm$ 9.25)g were randomly assigned to four groups, and the fish in the various groups were fed diets with DHA and EPA levels equaling to 0.54%, 0.95%, 1.40% and 1.79%

Component		DE-0.54	DE-0.95	DE-1.40	DE-1.79
	Fish meal	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00
	Chicken meal	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
	Soybean meal	17.80	17.80	17.80	17.80
	Corn gluten meal	16.40	16.40	16.40	16.40
In an diant	Corn starch	11.83	11.83	11.83	11.83
(%, as-is)	Choline chloride	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
	Vitamin premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Mineral premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Soybean lecithin	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Fish oil	1.17	2.90	4.62	6.33
	Soybean oil	7.30	5.57	3.85	2.14
Proximate composition (%, as-is)	Ash	9.85	9.66	9.31	10.09
	Crude protein	47.89	47.51	48.13	46.53
	Lipid	15.69	15.50	15.34	15.31
	DHA+EPA	0.54	0.95	1.40	1.79

Table 1 Composition of the four diets (45% protein and 16% lipid) formulated with0.54%, 0.95%, 1.40%, and 1.79% of total dietary DHA and EPA

Notes: Fish meal, crude protein 63.83%, crude lipid 10.0%; Chicken meal, crude protein 59.51%, crude lipid 15.47%; soybean meal, crude protein 42.17%, crude lipid 4.42%; corn starch, crude protein 67.06%, crude lipid 3.37%. Ingredients were obtained from Great Seven Bio-Tech (Qingdao, Shandong, China). Vitamin premix (mg (kg diet)<sup>-1</sup>): 5 mg vitamin D; 10 mg vitamin K; 10 mg vitamin B12; 20 mg vitamin B6; 20 mg folic acid; 25 mg vitamin B1; 32 mg vitamin A; 45 mg vitamin B2; 60 mg pantothenic acid; 60 mg biotin; 200 mg niacin acid; 240 mg  $\alpha$ -tocopherol; 800 mg inositol; 2000 mg ascorbic acid. Mineral premix (mg (kg diet)<sup>-1</sup>): 10 mg CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O; 25 mg Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> (1%); 50 mg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O; 50 mg CoC<sub>12</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (1%); 60 mg MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O; 80 mg FeSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O; 180 mg Ca(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; 1200 mg MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O; 8345 mg zeolite. DHA, docosahexaenoic acid, C22:6n3. EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid, C20:5n3.

Fatty acids		DE-0.54	DE-0.95	DE-1.40	DE-1.79
	C10:0	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.33
	C12:0	0.40	0.43	0.40	0.44
	C14:0	1.89	2.66	3.39	4.18
	C15:0	0.19	0.29	0.36	0.45
	C16:0	16.96	18.04	19.16	20.15
	C17:0	0.59	0.81	1.02	1.25
Saturated fatty acids	C18:0	5.05	5.10	5.14	5.12
	C20:0	1.18	1.20	1.19	1.22
	C21:0	ND	ND	0.14	0.17
	C22:0	0.82	0.79	0.74	0.72
	C23:0	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.28
	C24:0	0.40	0.42	0.29	ND
	ΣSFA	27.97	30.30	32.37	34.30
	C14:1	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.18
	C15:1	ND	ND	0.09	0.11
	C16:1	2.09	2.99	3.92	4.83
	C17:1	0.15	0.23	0.26	0.34
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C18:1n9	25.94	24.82	23.88	22.54
	C20:1	0.86	1.40	1.96	2.53
	C22:1n9	0.62	1.31	2.03	2.77
	C24:1	0.21	0.25	0.30	0.34
	ΣΜυγΑ	29.98	31.13	32.60	33.65
	C18:2n6c	33.55	27.79	21.65	16.21
	C18:3n3	3.80	3.26	2.66	2.06
	C18:3n6	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.28
	C20:2	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.33
	C20:3n3	0.38	0.49	0.55	0.66
	C20:4n6	ND	ND	0.15	0.27
	C20:5n3	1.56	2.79	4.04	5.30
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	C22:2	0.43	0.41	0.39	0.54
	C22:6n3	1.88	3.37	5.07	6.37
	ΣPUFA	42.05	38.57	35.02	32.04
	Σn-3	7.62	9.91	12.32	14.40
	Σn-6	33.71	27.97	22.03	16.77
	n3/n6	0.23	0.35	0.56	0.86
	DHA+EPA	3.45	6.16	9.11	11.68
	DHA/EPA	1.21	1.21	1.26	1.20

Table 2 Fatty acid composition of the experimental diets (% of total fatty acids)

Notes: Values are the means of three replicates. n-3, omega-3 series polyunsaturated fatty acids. n-6, omega-6 series polyunsaturated fatty acids. DHA, docosahexaenoic acid, C22:6n3. EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid, C20:5n3. ND, not detected.

(DE-0.54, DE-0.95, DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups, respectively) of the total diets, respectively. Three replicates were included in each group, and each tank contained 12 fish. The experimental diets were offered twice daily at 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for an 8-week period, and a 12-h light: 12-h dark photoperiod was maintained during this period. Approximately 70% of the water was changed daily at 12:00 a.m. During this period, the following water quality parameters (mean±standard deviation) were maintained: temperature,  $(16\pm1.2)^{\circ}$ ; pH,  $7.89\pm0.09$ ; dissolved oxygen (DO),  $(8.32\pm0.34)$ mgL<sup>-1</sup>; ammonia nitrogen,  $(0.04\pm0.01)$ mg L<sup>-1</sup>; and nitrite nitrogen,  $(0.02\pm0.01)$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Every two weeks, the experimental fish were starved for one day before being anesthetized by a moderate level of methanesulfonate (MS-222) and then weighed.

At the end of the 8-week period, three fish per treatment were anesthetized using MS-222 ( $70 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), and their stomachs and proximal intestines were dissected out, after which the gastric and intestinal contents were squeezed out by sterile tweezers. Sampled stomachs and proximal intestines were kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for fatty acid and digestive enzyme activities determination.

Salinity acclimation was performed in all tanks by mixing brackish water (salinity  $14 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ) with natural seawater. Salinity was increased to final  $30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  at a rate of  $4 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ per day, after which it was kept constant for an additional period of 14 days. A hand-held salinometer (Dedu DT-Y100, Changzhou, China) was used to measure the salinity. On days 1, 4, 7, and 14 after salinity acclimation, stomachs and proximal intestines of three fish per treatment were collected following the method discussed above. All sampling was performed at 24 h post-feeding. The stomachs were used for determination of the gastric amylase, pepsin and lipase activities, and the intestines were used for determination of the intestinal amylase, trypsin and lipase activities.

## 2.3 Measurements of Indicators and Analytical Methods

Fatty acids were extracted according to the method described by Bligh *et al.* (1959).They were esterified into methyl esters using methyl esterification reagent (hydrochloric acid/methanol, 1:5) and analyzed by gas chromatography (Shimadzu GC-2010 plus, Kyoto, Japan). The related parameters and instruments used in this experiment were described by Liu *et al.* (2018).

All digestive enzyme activities were measured using commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. The stomachs and intestines were weighed and homogenized together with cold saline (0.75% NaCl, pH 7.0). The homogenates were immediately centrifuged at  $800 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C, after which the supernatants were collected and used for determination of enzyme activities after appropriate dilution.

#### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

All statistics were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) multiple comparisons test to identify significant differences among different treatment groups. Additionally, interactions between dietary DHA and EPA levels and culture time on digestive enzyme activities were evaluated by the two-way ANOVA among treatment means. All statistical tests for significance were set at P<0.05. All figures were constructed by GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, www.graphpad.com).

## 3 Results

## 3.1 Growth

The survivals of rainbow trout in all treatment groups were 100%. No significant differences of final mean weight, final biomass, weight gain percentage and feed conversion ratio (*FCR*) of fish were observed among different treatments (Table 3).

#### 3.2 Fatty Acid Composition

The gastric and intestinal DHA and EPA contents of rainbow trout were positively correlated to their dietary DHA and EPA levels (Tables 4 and 5). At the end of 8-week period, the gastric DHA and EPA contents in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups were significantly higher than in DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups. The intestinal DHA content was the lowest in the DE-0.54 group and the highest in the DE-1.79 groups were significantly higher than in DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups. Moreover, the linoleic (C18:2n6) contents in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups were significantly higher than in DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups. Moreover, the linoleic (C18:2n6) contents in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups were significantly lower than in DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups according to the dietary content of soybean oil.

Diet	Final mean weight (g)	Final biomass (g)	Percent weight gain (%)	Survival (%)	FCR
DE-0.54	142.58	1711.00	56.02	100	1.78
DE-0.95	131.45	1577.40	44.77	100	1.81
DE-1.40	137.30	1647.60	51.22	100	1.78
DE-1.79	132.78	1593.30	47.91	100	1.80
PSE	5.6880	68.2624	5.9371	0.0000	0.1071
P-value	0.5365	0.5358	0.6008	1.0000	0.9931

Table 3 Growth performance of rainbow trout fed four experimental diets (initial weight of 90.61 g±9.25 g, 8 weeks)

Notes: The initial weight was presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Values are the means of three replicates. Means with different superscripts indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) based on one-way ANOVA and the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test. PSE, pooled standard error. FCR, feed conversion rate. Final biomass was measured by weighting 12 fish from each tank, FCR = (Dry feed offered)/(Wet weight gain). Percent weight gain =  $100 \times (Final body weight - Initial body weight)/(Initial body weight)$ .

Table 4 Fatty acid composition of the stomach fat of rainbow trout fed the experimental diets for 8 weeks (% of total fatty acids)

Fatty acid		Initial	DE-0.54	DE-0.95	DE-1.40	DE-1.79	PSE	P-value
	C14:0	2.58	1.99 <sup>b</sup>	2.26 <sup>ab</sup>	2.60 <sup>a</sup>	2.46 <sup>ab</sup>	0.1191	0.0329
	C15:0	0.34	0.24	0.30	0.40	0.35	0.0639	0.3698
	C16:0	17.06	17.2 <sup>ab</sup>	16.08 <sup>b</sup>	17.64 <sup>a</sup>	16.9 <sup>ab</sup>	0.3060	0.0375
	C17:0	0.67	$0.78^{b}$	0.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.0320	0.0246
Saturated fatty acids	C18:0	6.47	7.22	6.36	6.45	6.23	0.2229	0.0523
	C20:0	0.43	0.59	0.48	0.53	0.46	0.0354	0.1143
	C22:0	0.36	0.47	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.0366	0.0996
	C23:0	0.23	0.20	0.18	0.28	0.29	0.0707	0.6528
	ΣSFA	28.13	$28.70^{a}$	26.77 <sup>b</sup>	29.15 <sup>a</sup>	27.76 <sup>ab</sup>	0.4311	0.0189
	C16:1	4.64	3.62	4.47	3.83	4.16	0.3080	0.2949
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C17:1	0.36	0.57	0.41	0.32	0.33	0.5594	0.0427
wonounsulated fatty acids	C18:1n9	23.26	22.75	24.13	23.14	23.58	0.3785	0.1375
	C20:1	0.16	ND	0.07	0.26	0.26	0.0455	0.0416

(to be continued)

nued)								
Fatty acid		Initial	DE-0.54	DE-0.95	DE-1.40	DE-1.79	PSE	P-value
	C22:1n9	0.30	ND	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.0127	0.7266
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C24:1	0.93	1.27	0.94	0.74	0.92	0.1200	0.0751
	ΣΜUFA	29.65	28.21 <sup>b</sup>	30.30 <sup>a</sup>	$28.57^{ab}$	29.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.4541	0.0434
	C18:2n6	21.30	21.47 <sup>a</sup>	20.47 <sup>a</sup>	18.30 <sup>b</sup>	18.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.4191	0.0015
	C18:3n3	3.13	2.66	2.79	3.01	2.83	0.1197	0.2967
	C18:3n6	0.67	0.77	0.62	0.68	0.63	0.0806	0.5855
	C20:2	1.66	1.22 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.63 <sup>a</sup>	1.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.0596	0.0012
	C20:3n3	1.53	1.23	1.25	1.36	1.32	0.0490	0.2651
	C20:3n6	0.72	1.28 <sup>a</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	$0.87^{b}$	0.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.0673	0.0014
Delemente d'Estre est de	C20:4n6	1.50	3.34 <sup>a</sup>	2.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.49 <sup>b</sup>	0.2571	0.0036
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	C20:5n3	2.06	1.61 <sup>b</sup>	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	2.23 <sup>a</sup>	2.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.0825	0.0005
	C22:2	0.73	$0.70^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.74^{b}$	0.93 <sup>a</sup>	0.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.0475	0.0173
	C22:6n3	8.93	8.81 <sup>c</sup>	10.61 <sup>b</sup>	11.60a <sup>b</sup>	12.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.3341	0.0003
	ΣΡυγΑ	42.21	43.09	42.93	42.27	42.72	0.4635	0.6460
	Σn-3	15.65	14.31°	16.39 <sup>b</sup>	18.20 <sup>a</sup>	18.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.3299	< 0.0001
	Σn-6	24.18	$26.86^{a}$	$24.10^{b}$	21.51 <sup>c</sup>	21.17 <sup>c</sup>	0.5494	0.0003
	DHA+EPA	10.98	$10.42^{\circ}$	12.36 <sup>b</sup>	13.83 <sup>a</sup>	14.76 <sup>a</sup>	0.3079	< 0.0001

Notes: The values represent the means from three replicates. Means with different superscripts indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) based on one-way ANOVA and the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test. PSE, pooled standard error. SFA: saturated fatty acid. MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid. PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid. n-3, omega-3 series polyunsaturated fatty acid. n-6, omega-6 series polyunsaturated fatty acid. DHA: docosahexaenoic acid, C22:6n3. EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid, C20:5n3. ND, not detected.

Table 5 Fatty acid composition of the intestine fat in rainbow trout fed the experimental diets for 8 weeks (% of total fatty acids)

Fatty acid		Initial	DE-0.54	DE-0.95	DE-1.40	DE-1.79	PSE	P-value
	C14:0	2.69	1.90 <sup>b</sup>	2.16 <sup>b</sup>	2.54 <sup>a</sup>	2.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.1029	0.0031
	C15:0	0.31	$0.27^{b}$	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	$0.40^{a}$	0.35 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0251	0.0241
	C16:0	16.45	15.97 <sup>bc</sup>	15.47 <sup>c</sup>	$16.78^{ab}$	17.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.3248	0.0139
	C17:0	0.68	0.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.75 <sup>ab</sup>	0.92 <sup>a</sup>	$0.87^{ab}$	0.0520	0.0376
Saturated fatty acids	C18:0	5.87	6.58	6.29	7.36	6.61	0.3691	0.2831
	C20:0	0.34	0.45	0.45	0.56	0.47	0.0398	0.2493
	C22:0	0.26	0.33	0.30	0.39	0.28	0.0243	0.0541
	C23:0	0.35	0.24	0.24	0.34	0.29	0.0225	0.0374
	ΣSFA	26.94	26.42 <sup>b</sup>	25.95 <sup>b</sup>	29.28 <sup>a</sup>	28.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.4814	0.0023
	C16:1	4.82	4.17 <sup>a</sup>	4.05 <sup>a</sup>	3.62 <sup>b</sup>	4.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.0984	0.0054
	C17:1	0.23	0.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>b</sup>	$0.40^{a}$	$0.27^{b}$	0.0312	0.0274
	C18:1n9	27.37	27.83 <sup>a</sup>	26.78 <sup>b</sup>	21.33 <sup>c</sup>	21.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.2813	< 0.0001
Monounsaturated fatty acids	C20:1	0.20	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	$0.30^{a}$	$0.24^{ab}$	0.0185	0.0388
	C22:1n9	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.29	0.0143	0.1702
	C24:1	0.56	0.66	0.62	0.86	0.68	0.0742	0.1764
	ΣMUFA	33.44	33.39 <sup>a</sup>	32.19 <sup>b</sup>	26.76 <sup>d</sup>	27.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.2967	< 0.0001
	C18:2n6	19.93	20.33 <sup>a</sup>	20.64 <sup>a</sup>	18.84 <sup>b</sup>	17.89 <sup>b</sup>	0.3664	0.0023
	C18:3n3	2.62	2.54 <sup>c</sup>	2.82 <sup>b</sup>	$2.95^{ab}$	3.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.0510	0.0006
	C18:3n6	0.31	0.69	0.56	0.68	0.51	0.0588	0.1421
	C20:2	1.74	1.56 <sup>b</sup>	1.60 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.0890	0.0328
	C20:3n3	1.49	1.64	1.44	1.48	1.47	0.1148	0.6439
	C20:3n6	0.62	1.09 <sup>ab</sup>	0.94 <sup>ab</sup>	1.256 <sup>a</sup>	0.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.1093	0.0438
Delements of fetter and to	C20:4n6	1.08	1.56	1.61	1.47	1.42	0.0581	0.1855
Polyunsaturated fatty acids	C20:5n3	1.76	1.42 <sup>c</sup>	1.45 <sup>c</sup>	2.02 <sup>a</sup>	$1.87^{b}$	0.0444	< 0.0001
	C22:2	0.70	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 <sup>b</sup>	$0.87^{ab}$	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.0634	0.0113
	C22:6n3	9.36	8.66 <sup>d</sup>	$10.08^{\circ}$	12.74 <sup>b</sup>	13.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.1574	< 0.0001
	ΣΡυγΑ	39.62	40.19 <sup>c</sup>	41.86 <sup>b</sup>	43.96 <sup>a</sup>	43.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.2927	< 0.0001
	Σn-3	15.24	14.25 <sup>c</sup>	15.80 <sup>b</sup>	19.18 <sup>a</sup>	19.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.2019	< 0.0001
	Σn-6	21.94	23.68 <sup>a</sup>	23.74 <sup>a</sup>	22.26 <sup>b</sup>	20.55 <sup>c</sup>	0.3552	0.0007
	DHA+EPA	11.12	10.08 <sup>c</sup>	11.53 <sup>b</sup>	14.75 <sup>a</sup>	15.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.1785	< 0.0001

Notes: The values represent the means from three replicates. Means with different superscripts indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) based on one-way ANOVA and the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test. PSE, pooled standard error. SFA: saturated fatty acid. MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid. PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid. n-3, omega-3 series polyunsaturated fatty acid. n-6, omega-6 series polyunsaturated fatty acid. DHA, docosahexaenoic acid, C22:6n3. EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid, C20:5n3.

#### 3.3 Gastric Amylase, Pepsin and Lipase Activities in Rainbow Trout

No significant difference of gastric amylase activity in rainbow trout was observed with different dietary DHA and EPA levels before salinity acclimation (Fig.1A). Gastric amylase activity in rainbow trout in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups continually increased following salinity increase, reaching maximum values on day 7, and subsequently recovered to freshwater values on day 14. Moreover, gastric amylase activity in the DE-0.54 group was significantly lower compared to the other three groups on day 4 after salinity acclimation.

No significant difference of gastric pepsin activity in rainbow trout was observed with different dietary DHA and EPA levels before salinity acclimation (Fig.1B). Gastric pepsin activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group peaked on day 1 after salinity acclimation, and then tended to decrease, reaching a minimum value on day 7. The activity increased again on day 14, but failed to return to the freshwater value. Salinity stress increased the gastric pepsin activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.79 group before fully recovering on day 14.

Gastric lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.79 group was significantly lower compared to other groups before salinity acclimation (Fig.1C). Gastric lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group decreased to the lowest value on day 7 and increased to the highest value on day 14. In contrast, gastric lipase activity in the DE-0.95 and DE-1.79 groups decreased to their freshwater values following an increasing trend, peaking on days 4 and 7, respectively. The gastric lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group was significantly lower than that in the other groups on day 7, and the gastric lipase activity in the DE-0.95 group was significantly lower than that in the DE-0.54 and DE-1.40 groups on day 14.

#### 3.4 Intestinal Amylase, Trypsin and Lipase Activities in Rainbow Trout

No significant difference of intestinal amylase activity in rainbow trout was observed with different dietary DHA and EPA levels prior to salinity acclimation (Fig.2A). Intestinal amylase activity of rainbow trout was inhibited by salinity stress on day 4. The activities in DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups turned to freshwater values on day 14, whereas the activity in DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups recovered to high values on day 7, before decreasing significantly again on day 14. The intestinal amylase activities in rainbow trout were significantly lower on days 4 and 14, but significantly higher on day 7 in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups compared to DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups.

No significant difference of intestinal trypsin activity in rainbow trout was observed with different dietary DHA and EPA levels before salinity acclimation (Fig.2B). The intestinal trypsin activity of rainbow trout in all groups decreased immediately on day 1 after salinity acclimation, followed by continuous increase before reaching the freshwater values on day 14. At the end of the experiment, intestinal trypsin activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups were significantly higher compared to the DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups.

No significant difference of intestinal lipase activity in



Fig.1 Variations in the gastric amylase activity (A), pepsin activity (B) and lipase activity (C) in rainbow troutfed different levels of dietary DHA and EPA after salinity acclimation. The values represent the means of three replicates. The different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among different dietary treatments at the same time point, and the different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) at different time points in the same dietary treatment based on two-way ANOVA and the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test. FW-56, before salinity acclimation; SW-1, one day after salinity reached  $30 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ ; SW-4, four days after salinity reached  $30 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ ; SW-7, seven days after salinity reached  $30 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ ; SW-14, fourteen days after salinity reached  $30 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ .



Fig.2 Same as those in Fig.1 but for variations in the intestinal amylase activity (A), trypsin activity (B) and lipase activity (C).

rainbow trout was observed with different dietary DHA and EPA levels during freshwater stage (Fig.2C). Intestinal lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group decreased to the minimum value on day 4 of salinity ac-

climation, and then increased significantly on day 7, and the values on day 7 and 14 were significantly higher compared to the freshwater value. Intestinal lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.40 group decreased significantly after salinity acclimation. Although it recovered to its freshwater value on day 7, it declined again on day 14. Intestinal lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.79 group decreased significantly on day 1 after salinity acclimation before leveling off. On days 7 and 14, the intestinal lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group was significantly higher than in other groups.

## 3.5 Interaction Effect of Culture Time and Dietary DHA and EPA Level on Digestive Enzyme Activities

Two-way ANOVA showed a significant interaction effect of culture time and dietary DHA and EPA on gastric pepsin and lipase activities in rainbow trout. This interaction effect was also found for intestinal amylase, trypsin and lipase activities in rainbow trout (Table 6).

## 4 Discussion

The present study found that dietary DHA and EPA supplementation (0.54%–1.79%) did not significantly improve the final biomass, survival and FCR of rainbow trout reared in freshwater for 8 weeks, which is consistent with the results of several previous studies on rainbow trout (Guler *et al.*, 2011; Thanuthong *et al.*, 2011) or Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (Bell *et al.*, 1997; Tocher *et al.*, 2000).

DHA and EPA play important roles in the anti-stress response, salinity acclimation, and digestive ability (Cornet et al., 2018; Fuentes-Quesada et al., 2018). Fish need to obtain these essential fatty acids from food, and food is the main source of deposited DHA in fish tissues (Van Anholt et al., 2012). In general, high dietary DHA and EPA levels can improve the DHA and EPA contents in fish tissues (Guler et al., 2011; Hixson et al., 2014; Wijekoon et al., 2014). Similarly, our results showed that the gastric and intestinal DHA and EPA contents were positively correlated to the dietary DHA and EPA levels. In addition, high levels of DHA and EPA in membrane can increase membrane fluidity and change the microenvironment of the membrane, which will further affect the activity of attached enzymes. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that digestive enzyme activities are influenced by the changes in the gastric and intestinal fatty acid composition in rainbow trout.

Table 6 The *P*-values of two-way ANOVAs for the effects of culture time and dietary DHA and EPA levels on the gastric and intestinal digestive enzyme activities in rainbow trout after salinity acclimation *P*-values 是无单位的

		Stomach			Intestines	
Variable	$\frac{\text{Amylase}}{(\text{U}(\text{mg prot})^{-1})}$	Pepsin (U (mg prot) <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	Lipase $(U (mg prot)^{-1})$	$\frac{\text{Amylase}}{(\text{U}(\text{mg prot})^{-1})}$	Trypsin $(U (mg prot)^{-1})$	Lipase $(U (mg prot)^{-1})$
Model	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Time	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Level	0.0002	< 0.0001	0.0026	0.0115	0.1087	< 0.0001
Time × Level	0.1741	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0495	< 0.0001

Carbohydrate is hydrolyzed by amylase to glucose absorbed by fish. In our results, the gastric and intestinal amylase activities of rainbow trout have not been significantly influenced by dietary DHA and EPA levels before salinity acclimation, which is consistent with the results of several previous studies. Murashita et al. (2008) found that  $\alpha$ -amylase activity in the digestive tract was not affected by dietary proteins, lipids or starch in yellowtail. Santigosa et al. (2011) reported that amylase activity in the proximal intestine of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata L.) was not affected when the fish oil in diets was replaced by vegetable oil causing low dietary DHA and EPA level. In addition, our findings indicate that gastric amylase activity was lower and more stable than intestinal amylase activity. A possible reason for this finding is that amylase is synthesized by the hepatopancreas and directly secreted into the intestines. Moreover, because the optimal pH for amylase is 7.0-8.0 (Ugwumba, 1993; Munilla-Morán et al., 1996; Fernandez et al., 2001), the low pH in the stomach inhibits the activity of amylase.

In this study, short-term exposure to salinity stress inhibited intestinal amylase activity of rainbow trout, and this effect might be due to the high concentration of Cl<sup>-</sup> in seawater, which negatively affects amylase activity (Podoler *et al.*, 1971). Although the intestinal amylase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups could recover to the freshwater values on day 7 after salinity acclimation, the activities significantly decreased again on day 14. This result may indicate that high dietary DHA and EPA inhibits carbohydrate digestion in rainbow trout during long-term mariculture; however, its underlying mechanism still needs further study.

Proteases are important components of the digestive enzymes and are responsible for the digestion of dietary proteins (Psochiou et al., 2007). In the current study, no significant differences in the gastric pepsin and intestinal trypsin activities were observed among the groups of rainbow trout prior to salinity acclimation. Similarly, Castro et al. (2016) found that the dietary replacement of fish oil by vegetable oil had no effect on trypsin activity in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). However, You et al. (2019) and Bowyer et al. (2012) found decreased trypsin activity in golden pompano and yellowtail kingfish with low dietary DHA and EPA levels, respectively. Santigosa et al. (2011) observed increased and decreased alkaline protease activities in the pyloric caeca and proximal intestine, respectively, in gilthead sea bream fed diets including a vegetable oil blend. Therefore, it can be speculated that different effects of dietary DHA and EPA on protease activity might be species- and/or tissue-specific.

Our findings show that gastric pepsin activity in rainbow trout was significantly lower in the DE-0.54 group compared with the other groups on day 14 after seawater acclimation. A possible reason is that fish in this group cannot adapt to the seawater environment (data from this experiment) (Huang *et al.*, 2020), and consequently, they need to take in large volumes of alkaline seawater to compensate for the water loss of tissues, resulting in an increase in pH that exceeds the optimal pH range for pepsin in the stomach (Usher et al., 1990; Boeuf et al., 2001; Gheisvandi et al., 2015).

Intestinal trypsin activity was inhibited by short-term salinity stress, which is consistent with the results of several previous studies (Woo et al., 1995; Moutou et al., 2004; Silva-Brito et al., 2019). In our study, the trypsin activity in rainbow trout recovered to the freshwater values on day 14, which indicated that trypsin can regain its normal function after the fish adapt to the hypersaline environment. In addition, our results indicate that the trypsin activities in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups were significantly higher than those in the DE-0.54 and DE-0.95 groups on day 14 after salinity acclimation. One possible explanation is that rainbow trouts in the DE-1.40 and DE-1.79 groups exhibited higher intestinal DHA and EPA levels, resulting in improved membrane fluidity and material exchange ability (Huster et al., 1997; Hishikawa et al., 2017; Cornet et al., 2018). As a result, redundant ions can be excreted over time after the fish drink large volume of seawater, and the trypsin activity can thus be maintained at a high level because high concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> inhibit the activity of protease (Squires et al., 1986b). Hence, a certain level of dietary DHA and EPA is necessary for the maintenance of high protease activity in rainbow trout after salinity acclimation.

Lipase plays important role in lipid absorption, which can hydrolyze dietary lipids into partial glycerides and free fatty acids (Hamosh *et al.*, 1973). In our results, the lowest gastric lipase activity in rainbow trout was found in the DE-1.79 group before salinity acclimation, which is in agreement with the results of Morais *et al.* (2004), who reported lower lipase specific activity in larval sea bass fed fish oil than in larvae fed coconut oil. However, the gastric lipase in the DE-1.79 group could increase to similar value as the other groups after salinity acclimation, indicating that gastric lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-1.79 group was only temporarily inhibited and can recover if needed.

The results of this study showed that intestinal lipase activity in rainbow trout was inhibited by short-term salinity stress. Similarly, Liu et al. (2017) found that lipase activity in juvenile American shad (Alosa sapidissima) decreased after exposure to increased salinity. Our findings showed that on day 14, the highest and lowest intestinal lipase activities were detected in the DE-0.54 and DE-1.79 group, respectively. A possible explanation for this finding is that lipase specificity can change with the unsaturated degree and the chain length of dietary fatty acids (Castro et al., 2016). Thus, low lipase activity is detected in fish if the demand for dietary essential fatty acids has been met. An adequate supply of DHA and EPA is an indispensable factor for achieving suitable growth, survival and anti-stress responses in fish (Furuita et al., 1998; Hamre et al., 2013; Pinto et al., 2016; Fuentes-Quesada et al., 2018). In the current study, the intestinal lipase activity of rainbow trout in the DE-0.54 group was higher than those in the other groups on days 7 and 14 because the fish in this group need to increase their lipase activity to enhance their utilization of lipids to meet their demands

for DHA and EPA, since rainbow trout needs 1.40% dietary DHA and EPA during salinity acclimation (Huang *et al.*, 2020).

## **5** Conclusions

In this study, the gastric and intestinal DHA and EPA contents of rainbow trout were positively correlated to the dietary DHA and EPA levels. Both dietary DHA and EPA levels together with salinity acclimation play important roles in digestion in rainbow trout. Intestinal amylase, trypsin, and lipase activities of rainbow trout were inhibited by short-term exposure to salinity stress, while the gastric digestive enzyme activities were more stable. In the long term of salinity acclimation, high dietary DHA and EPA levels (DE-1.40 and DE-1.79) improved intestinal trypsin activities of rainbow trout, while rainbow trout in low dietary DHA and EPA group (DE-0.54 group) cannot maintain their activity of gastric pepsin. In addition, fish in the DE-0.54 group kept extremely high intestinal lipase activity. According to the results, rainbow trout fed the DE-0.54 diet cannot maintain an appropriate digestive capacity after entering the seawater. Thus, a diet with a minimum DHA and EPA level equaling to 0.95% is necessary for rainbow trout during salinity acclimation.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to everyone who critically reviewed this manuscript and helped with the sample collection. This publication was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2019YFD0901005), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31702364 and 31572634) and the Primary Research and Development Program of Shandong Province (No. 2018CXGC0101).

# References

- Ackman, R. G., and Takeuchi, T., 1986. Comparison of fatty acids and lipids of smolting hatchery-fed and wild Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Lipids*, **21** (2): 117-120, DOI: 10.1007/BF0253 4431.
- Barman, U. K., Jana, S., Garg, S., Bhatnagar, A., and Arasu, A., 2005. Effect of inland water salinity on growth, feed conversion efficiency and intestinal enzyme activity in growing grey mullet, *Mugil cephalus* (Linn.): Field and laboratory studies. *Aquaculture International*, **13** (3): 241-256, DOI: 10.1007/ s10499-004-2479-5.
- Bell, J. G., Tocher, D. R., Farndale, B. M., Cox, D. I., McKinney, R. W., and Sargent, J. R., 1997. The effect of dietary lipid on polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism in Atlantic salmon (*Sal-mo salar*) undergoing Parr-Smolt transformation. *Lipids*, **32** (5): 515-525, DOI: 10.1007/s11745-997-0066-4.
- Bligh, E. G., and Dyer, W. J., 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, **37** (8): 911-917,
- Boeuf, G., and Payan, P., 2001. How should salinity influence fish growth? *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, **130** (4): 411-423, DOI: 10.

1016/S1532-0456(01)00268-X.

- Bolasina, S. N., Tagawa, M., and Yamashita, Y., 2007. Changes on cortisol level and digestive enzyme activity in juveniles of Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*, exposed to different salinity regimes. *Aquaculture*, **266** (1-4): 255-261, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.01.046.
- Bolasina, S., Pérez, A., and Yamashita, Y., 2006. Digestive enzymes activity during ontogenetic development and effect of starvation in Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Aquaculture*, **252** (2-4): 503-515, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005. 07.015.
- Bowyer, J. N., Qin, J. G., Adams, L. R., Thomson, M. J., and Stone, D. A., 2012. The response of digestive enzyme activities and gut histology in yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) to dietary fish oil substitution at different temperatures. *Aquaculture*, **368**: 19-28, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.09.012.
- Castro, C., Couto, A., Perez-Jimenez, A., Serra, C. R., Diaz-Rosales, P., Fernandes, R., Corraze, G., Panserat, S., and Oliva-Teles, A., 2016. Effects of fish oil replacement by vegetable oil blend on digestive enzymes and tissue histomorphology of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, **42** (1): 203-217, DOI: 10.1007/ s10695-015-0130-1.
- Castro, C., Pérez-Jiménez, A., Coutinho, F., Pousão-Ferreira, P., Brandão, T. M., Oliva-Teles, A., and Peres, H., 2013. Digestive enzymes of meagre (*Argyrosomus regius*) and white seabream (*Diplodus sargus*). Effects of dietary brewer's spent yeast supplementation. *Aquaculture*, **416**: 322-327, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquacul ture.2013.09.042.
- Chen, M. Y., Zhang, X. M., Gao, T. X., and Chen, C., 2006. Effects of temperature, pH and NaCl on protease activity in digestive tract of young turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus*. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, **24** (3): 300-306, DOI: 10.1007/PL00020776.
- Cornet, V., Ouaach, A., Mandiki, S. N. M., Flamion, E., Ferain, A., Van Larebeke, M., Lemaire, B., Lopez, F. E. R., Tort, L., Larondelle, Y., and Kestemont, P., 2018. Environmentally-realistic concentration of cadmium combined with polyunsaturated fatty acids enriched diets modulated non-specific immunity in rainbow trout. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **196**: 104-116, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.01.012.
- Dong, S., 2019. Research progress and prospect on large Salmonidae at the areas of Cold Water Mass in Yellow sea. *Periodical of Ocean University of China*, **49**: 1-6, DOI: 10.16441/ j.cnki.hdxb.20180303.
- Esmaeili, M., Kenari, A. A., and Rombenso, A. N., 2017. Effects of fish meal replacement with meat and bone meal using garlic (*Allium sativum*) powder on growth, feeding, digestive enzymes and apparent digestibility of nutrients and fatty acids in juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum, 1792). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, **23** (6): 1225-1234, DOI: 10.1111/ anu.12491.
- Evans, O., 2018. China gets ready to harvest first batch of farmed salmon from huge, deep sea fully-submersible fish cage. Salmon Business News. Retrieved from https://salmonbusiness.com/chinas-gets-ready-to-harvest-first-batch-of-farmed-s almon-from-huge-deep-sea-fully-submersible-fish-cage/.
- Fernandez, I., Moyano, F., Diaz, M., and Martinez, T., 2001. Characterization of α-amylase activity in five species of Mediterranean sparid fishes (*Sparidae, Teleostei*). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, **262** (1): 1-12, DOI: 10. 1016/S0022-0981(01)00228-3.
- Fountoulaki, E., Alexis, M. N., Nengas, I., and Venou, B., 2005. Effect of diet composition on nutrient digestibility and diges-

tive enzyme levels of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Aquaculture Research*, **36** (13): 1243-1251, DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2109.2005.01232.x.

- Fuentes-Quesada, J. P., and Lazo, J. P., 2018. The effect of lipid type on lipid digestion enzymes during larval development of the California halibut, *Paralichthys californicus*. *Aquaculture*, **488**: 49-60, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.01.018.
- Furuita, H., Takeuchi, T., and Uematsu, K., 1998. Effects of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids on growth, survival and brain development of larval Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). Aquaculture, **161** (1-4): 269-279, DOI: 10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00275-5.
- Gheisvandi, N., Hajimoradloo, A., Ghorbani, R., and Hoseinifar, S. H., 2015. The effects of gradual or abrupt changes in salinity on digestive enzymes activity of Caspian kutum, *Rutilus kutum* (Kamensky, 1901) larvae. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **31** (6): 1107-1112, DOI: 10.1111/jai.12891.
- Glencross, B. D., 2009. Exploring the nutritional demand for essential fatty acids by aquaculture species. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 1 (2): 71-124, DOI: 10.1111/j.1753-5131.2009.01006.x.
- Guler, M., and Yildiz, M., 2011. Effects of dietary fish oil replacement by cottonseed oil on growth performance and fatty acid composition of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences*, **35** (3): 157-167, DOI: 10.3906/vet-1002-252.
- Hamosh, M., and Scow, R. O., 1973. Lingual lipase and its role in the digestion of dietary lipid. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, **52** (1): 88-95, DOI: 10.1172/JCI107177.
- Hamre, K., Yúfera, M., Rønnestad, I., Boglione, C., Conceição, L. E., and Izquierdo, M., 2013. Fish larval nutrition and feed formulation: Knowledge gaps and bottlenecks for advances in larval rearing. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 5: S26-S58, DOI: 10. 1111/j.1753-5131.2012.01086.x.
- Hishikawa, D., Valentine, W. J., Iizuka-Hishikawa, Y., Shindou, H., and Shimizu, T., 2017. Metabolism and functions of docosahexaenoic acid-containing membrane glycerophospholipids. *Febs Letters*, **591** (18): 2730-2744, DOI: 10.1002/1873-3468. 12825.
- Hixson, S. M., Parrish, C. C., and Anderson, D. M., 2014. Changes in tissue lipid and fatty acid composition of farmed rainbow trout in response to dietary camelina oil as a replacement of fish oil. *Lipids*, **49** (1): 97-111, DOI: 10.1007/s11745-013-3862-7.
- Huang, M., Zhou, Y., Liu, C., Davis, D. A., Li, L., Gao, Q., and Dong, S., 2020. Fatty acid composition, osmolality, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity, cortisol content and antioxidant status of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in response to various dietary levels of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid. *Aquaculture Research*, **00**: 1-13, DOI: 10.1111/are.14617.
- Huster, D., Jin, A. J., Arnold, K., and Gawrisch, K., 1997. Water permeability of polyunsaturated lipid membranes measured by O-17 NMR. *Biophysical Journal*, **73** (2): 855-864, DOI: 10. 1016/S0006-3495(97)78118-9.
- Li, H. O., and Yamada, J., 1992. Changes of the fatty-acid composition in smolts of masu salmon (*Oncorhynchus-masou*), associated with desmoltification and sea-water transfer. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, **103** (1): 221-226, DOI: 10.1016/0300-9629(92)90266-S.
- Liu, C. Y., Zhou, Y. G., Dong, K., Sun, D. J., Gao, Q. F., and Dong, S. L., 2018. Differences in fatty acid composition of gill and liver phospholipids between steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) under declining temperatures. *Aquaculture*, **495**: 815-822, DOI: 10.1016/ j.aquaculture.2018.06.045.

- Liu, Z. F., Gao, X. Q., Yu, J. X., Qian, X. M., Xue, G. P., Zhang, Q. Y., Liu, B. L., and Hong, L., 2017. Effects of different salinities on growth performance, survival, digestive enzyme activity, immune response, and muscle fatty acid composition in juvenile American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, **43** (3): 761-773, DOI: 10.1007/s10695-016-0330-3.
- Morais, S., Cahu, C., Zambonino-Infante, J. L., Robin, J., Ronnestad, I., Dinis, M. T., and Conceicao, L. E. C., 2004. Dietary TAG source and level affect performance and lipase expression in larval sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Lipids*, **39** (5): 449-458, DOI: 10.1007/s11745-004-1250-2.
- Moser, M., and Miller, J., 1994. Effects of salinity fluctuation on routine metabolism of juvenile spot, *Leiostomus xanthums*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **45** (2): 335-340, DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1994.tb01312.x.
- Moutou, K. A., Panagiotaki, P., and Mamuris, Z., 2004. Effects of salinity on digestive protease activity in the euryhaline sparid *Sparus aurata* L.: A preliminary study. *Aquaculture Research*, **35** (9): 912-914, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.01068.x.
- Munilla-Morán, R., and Saborido-Rey, F., 1996. Digestive enzymes in marine species. II. Amylase activities in gut from seabream (*Sparus aurata*), turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) and redfish (*Sebastes mentella*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, **113** (4): 827-834, DOI: 10.1016/0305-0491(95)02101-9.
- Murashita, K., Fukada, H., Rønnestad, I., Kurokawa, T., and Masumoto, T., 2008. Nutrient control of release of pancreatic enzymes in yellowtail (*Seriola quinqueradiata*): Involvement of CCK and PY in the regulatory loop. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, **150** (4): 438-443, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.05.003.
- Nikolopoulou, D., Moutou, K., Fountoulaki, E., Venou, B., Adamidou, S., and Alexis, M., 2011. Patterns of gastric evacuation, digesta characteristics and pH changes along the gastrointestinal tract of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) and European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, **158** (4): 406-414, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.11.021.
- Noda, M., and Murakami, K., 1981. Studies on proteinases from the digestive organs of sardine. II. Purification and characterization of two acid proteinases from the stomach. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)–Molecular Basis of Disease*, **658** (1): 27-34, DOI: 10.1016/0005-2744(81)90246-1.
- NRC, 2011. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National Academic Press, Washington D.C., 392pp.
- Pinto, W., Engrola, S., Santos, A., Bandarra, N. M., Dias, J., and Conceição, L. E., 2016. Can Senegalese sole post-larvae effectively grow on low dietary DHA and lipid levels during weaning? *Aquaculture*, **463**: 234-240, DOI: 10.1016/j.aqua culture.2016.05.027.
- Podoler, H., and Applebaum, S., 1971. The α-amylase of the beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* properties. *Biochemical Journal*, **121** (2): 321-325, DOI: 10.1042/bj1210321.
- Psochiou, E., Mamuris, Z., Panagiotaki, P., Kouretas, D., and Moutou, K. A., 2007. The response of digestive proteases to abrupt salinity decrease in the euryhaline sparid *Sparus au*rata L. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, 147 (2): 156-163, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2006.12.021.
- Rungruangsak-Torrissen, K., Moss, R., Andresen, L., Berg, A., and Waagbø, R., 2006. Different expressions of trypsin and chymotrypsin in relation to growth in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo* salar L.). Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, **32** (1): 7, DOI:

10.1007/s10695-005-0630-5.

- Santigosa, E., García-Meilán, I., Valentín, J. M., Navarro, I., Pérez-Sánchez, J., and Gallardo, M. Á., 2011. Plant oils' inclusion in high fish meal-substituted diets: Effect on digestion and nutrient absorption in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). Aquaculture Research, 42 (7): 962-974, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02679.x.
- Santigosa, E., Sánchez, J., Médale, F., Kaushik, S., Pérez-Sánchez, J., and Gallardo, M., 2008. Modifications of digestive enzymes in trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) in response to dietary fish meal replacement by plant protein sources. *Aquaculture*, **282** (1-4): 68-74, DOI: 10. 1016/j.aquaculture.2008.06.007.
- Sheridan, M. A., Allen, W. V., and Kerstetter, T. H., 1985. Changes in the fatty acid composition of steelhead trout, *Salmo gairdnerii* Richardson, associated with parr-smolt transformation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. B, Comparative Biochemistry*, **80** (4): 671-676, DOI: 10.1016/0305-0491(85) 90444-4.
- Silva-Brito, F., Timoteo, F., Esteves, A., Peixoto, M. J., Ozorio, R., and Magnoni, L., 2019. Impact of the replacement of dietary fish oil by animal fats and environmental salinity on the metabolic response of European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 233: 46-59, DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpb. 2019.04.004.
- Sivaramakrishnan, T., Sahu, N. P., Jain, K. K., Muralidhar, A. P., Saravanan, K., Ferosekhan, S., Praveenraj, J., and Artheeswaran, N., 2017. Optimum dietary lipid requirement of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* juveniles in relation to growth, fatty acid profile, body indices and digestive enzyme activity. *Aquaculture International*, **25** (2): 941-954, DOI: 10.1007/s10499-016-0090-1.
- Squires, E. J., Haard, N., and Feltham, L., 1986a. Gastric proteases of the Greenland cod *Gadus ogac*. I. Isolation and kine- tic properties. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, **64** (3): 205-214, DOI: 10.1139/o86-030.
- Squires, E. J., Haard, N., and Feltham, L., 1986b. Gastric proteases of the Greenland cod *Gadus ogac*. II. Structural properties. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, **64** (3): 215-222, DOI: 10.1139/o86-031.
- Sutthinon, P., Thongprajukaew, K., Saekhow, S., and Ketmanee, R., 2015. Juvenile hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus coioides* × *E. lanceolatus*) are euryhaline and can grow in a wide range of salinities. *Aquaculture International*, **23** (2): 671-682, DOI: 10.1007/s10499-014-9845-8.
- Thanuthong, T., Francis, D. S., Manickam, E., Senadheera, S. D., Cameron-Smith, D., and Turchini, G. M., 2011. Fish oil replacement in rainbow trout diets and total dietary PUFA content: II) Effects on fatty acid metabolism and *in vivo* fatty acid bioconversion. *Aquaculture*, **322**: 99-108, DOI: 10.1016/j.aqua

culture.2011.09.026.

- Tocher, D. R., Bell, J. G., Dick, J. R., Henderson, R. J., McGhee, F., Michell, D., and Morris, P. C., 2000. Polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) undergoing parr-smolt transformation and the effects of dietary linseed and rapeseed oils. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 23 (1): 59-73, DOI: 10.1023/a:1007807201093.
- Tsuzuki, M. Y., Sugai, J. K., Maciel, J. C., Francisco, C. J., and Cerqueira, V. R., 2007. Survival, growth and digestive enzyme activity of juveniles of the fat snook (*Centropomus parallelus*) reared at different salinities. *Aquaculture*, **271** (1-4): 319-325, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.05.002.
- Ugwumba, A., 1993. Carbohydrases in the digestive tract of the African bony-tongue *Heterotis niloticus* (Pisces: Osteoglossidae). *Hydrobiologia*, **257** (2): 95-100, DOI: 10.1007/BF0000 5949.
- Usher, M., Talbot, C., and Eddy, F., 1988. Drinking in Atlantic salmon smolts transferred to seawater and the relationship between drinking and feeding. *Aquaculture*, **73** (1-4): 237-246, DOI: 10.1016/0044-8486(88)90058-0.
- Usher, M., Talbot, C., and Eddy, F., 1990. Effects of transfer to seawater on digestion and gut function in Atlantic salmon smolts (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture*, **90** (1): 85-96, DOI: 10. 1016/0044-8486(90)90285-U.
- Van Anholt, R. D., Spanings, F. A. T., Nixon, O., Bonga, S. E. W., and Koven, W. M., 2012. The effects of arachidonic acid on the endocrine and osmoregulatory response of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) acclimated to seawater and subjected to confinement stress. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, **38** (3): 703-713, DOI: 10.1007/s10695-011-9552-6.
- Wijekoon, M. P. A., Parrish, C. C., and Mansour, A., 2014. Effect of dietary substitution of fish oil with flaxseed or sunflower oil on muscle fatty acid composition in juvenile steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) reared at varying temperatures. *Aquaculture*, **433**: 74-81, DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2014.05.028.
- Woo, N. Y., and Kelly, S. P., 1995. Effects of salinity and nutritional status on growth and metabolism of *Spams sarba* in a closed seawater system. *Aquaculture*, **135** (1-3): 229-238, DOI: 10.1016/0044-8486(95)01003-3.
- Xie, D. Z., Xu, S. D., Wu, Q. Y., Chen, F., Wang, S. Q., You, C. H., and Li, Y. Y., 2018. Changes of visceral properties and digestive enzymes in the herbivorous marine teleost *Siganus canaliculatus* fed on different diets. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*, **37** (2): 85-93, DOI: 10.1007/s13131-018-1165-9.
- You, C., Chen, B., Wang, M., Wang, S., Zhang, M., Sun, Z., Juventus, A. J., Ma, H., and Li, Y., 2019. Effects of dietary lipid sources on the intestinal microbiome and health of golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, **89**: 187-197, DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.03.060.

(Edited by Qiu Yantao)